

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/045,375	11/09/2001	John Tallman	99,130-J	5633
7590 08/01/2005			EXAMINER	
Steven J. Sarus	ssi	BRANNOCK, MICHAEL T		
McDonnell Boe	hnen Hulbert & Berghoff	•		
32nd Floor			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
300 S. Wacker Drive			1649	
Chicago, IL 60606			DATE MAILED: 08/01/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

nat)	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/045,375	TALLMAN ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Michael Brannock	1649
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tiry within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed vs will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 M</u> 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 36-47 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 36-47 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposite and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 10.	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a <u>)</u> . ejected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
·		
Attachment(s)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D	•
B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Patent Application (PTO-152)
. Patent and Trademark Office		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

Art Unit: 1649

DETAILED ACTION

Status of Application: Claims and Amendments

The Art Unit location of your application in the USPTO has changed. To aid in correlating any papers for this application, all further correspondence regarding this application should be directed to Art Unit 1649.

Applicant's timely response of 5/2/05 to the Office action mailed 1/28/05 is noted. Applicant correctly points to the elected claims 36-47 which were incorrectly referred to in the prior Office action.

Response to Amendment

Applicant is notified that any outstanding objection or rejection that is not expressly maintained in this Office action has been withdrawn in view of Applicant's amendments and persuasive arguments regarding the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) citing Jensen-L, et al., WO 98/19165. in view of the Abstract of Calogero-AE et al, Brain Res 463(1)28-36, 1988, set forth previously.

Maintained Rejections:

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 36-47 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No: 6444666 to Ladduwhetty et al. filed 8/27/1998.

Art Unit: 1649

The invention of the instant claims is predicated on the idea that selective activation GABA α_2 $\beta\gamma_2$ or α_3 $\beta\gamma_2$ receptors, while minimizing activation of receptors having $\alpha 1$ subtype, will produce antidepressant effects with minimal sedative and cognitive impairing effects, see pages 33-39.

Patent No: 6444666 teach this principle with regard to anxiety and depression, see the see col 2, lines 10-26, and particularly lines 37-38. *In vivo* confirmation of the response sensitivity, e.g. claims 46 and 47, is also taught, see col 9, lines 1-5. These teaching differs from the instant claims in several insignificant ways. U.S. Patent No: 6444666 does not teach any particular EC₅₀, e.g. that the EC₅₀ be less than 200 nM as in the instant claim 37. One of ordinary skill in the art of pharmacology would not need to be taught a particular number to use as this would readily be apparent during routine optimization of operating parameters.

Applicant argues that there is nothing in the cited reference or the prior art as a whole that suggest that an assay for anxiolytic compounds would also identify antidepressants. This argument has been fully considered but not deemed persuasive. This premise is not the basis of the rejection. Ladduwhetty do not teach that any assay for anxiolytics would identify antidepressants, they teach that particular assays that rely on selective activation GABA α_2 $\beta\gamma_2$ or α_3 $\beta\gamma_2$ receptors, while minimizing activation of receptors having $\alpha 1$ subtype, will identify anxiolytics and antidepressants.

Applicant argues that Ladduwhetty does not teach this selectivity in the context of depression. This argument has been fully considered but not deemed persuasive. One of ordinary skill in the art appreciates that Ladduwhetty teaches the principle at col 2 lines 10-26 and then immediately provides examples, including depression, at lines 37-38. Furthermore,

Art Unit: 1649

Ladduwhetty distinctly state "such disorders include anxiety disorders,..., and depressive or bipolar disorder..", see lines 30-36 of col 2.

Additionally, Applicant argues that the definition of the compounds referred to, e.g. at lines 37 and 38, is given later at col 3 lines 3-18 and encompasses compounds that are not selective. Applicant uses this argument as the basis for showing that 1) depression is not presented in the context of of anxiety and 2) that Ladduwhetty appear to suggest that something other than selective activation of these subunits accounts for antidepressant activity. This argument has been fully considered but not deemed persuasive. Applicant's interpretation of these teachings is unduly narrow and selective. As discussed above, one of ordinary skill in the art appreciates that Ladduwhetty teaches the principle of selective activation α_2 $\beta\gamma_2$ and α_3 $\beta\gamma_2$ subunits at col 2 lines 10-26 and then immediately provides examples where it is relevant, including depression, at lines 37-38 which, given even the most narrow possible reading of these teachings, would at least suggest to one of ordinary skill in the art that this principle applies to depression as well as anxiety. Furthermore, that the definition of "compounds of the invention" provided for in a separate section of the description includes compounds that do not display such specificity in no way contradicts the suggestion provided by col 2 that selective activation $\alpha_2 \beta \gamma_2$ and $\alpha_3 \beta \gamma_2$ subunits while minimizing alpha-1 subunit activation identifies antidepressants as well as anxiolytics with minimal sedative effects.

Applicant argues that the necessary connection between anxiolytic and antidepressants is simply not in the art. This argument has been fully considered but not deemed persuasive. As admitted by Applicant at page 10, last paragraph, the art recognizes overlap in the treatment of anxiety and depression, although it is agreed that the two disorders are distinct. Thus, one of

Art Unit: 1649

ordinary skill in the art would view the teachings of Ladduwhetty as describing a molecular underpinning of this overlap, i.e. that α_2 $\beta\gamma_2$ and $\alpha_3\beta\gamma_2$ are involved in both anxiety and depression.

Conclusion

Please note the new central fax number for official correspondence below:

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Brannock, Ph.D., whose telephone number is (571) 272-0869. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays through Fridays from 10:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Janet Andres, Ph.D., can be reached at (571) 272-0867. Official papers filed by fax should be directed to 571-273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

MB

July 25, 2005

ELIZABETH KEMMERER PRIMARY EXAMINER

Elyabet L C. Henrineus